**Rubric**  Name: \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | EXEMPLARY | PROFICIENT | EMERGING | NEEDS IMPROVEMENT |
| FOCUS  and  ORGANIZATION | * Examples and evidence are tightly linked to prompt | * Examples and evidence are sufficiently linked to prompt | * Examples and/or evidence may be questionable in link to prompt | * Examples and/or evidence do not link to thesis |
| CONTENT | * Chosen examples/ideas are insightful * Context is clear and concise * Textual evidence is insightful, original, and illustrative of prompt * Explanation of evidence is highly articulate with superior understanding of text | * Chosen examples/ideas are satisfactory * Context is mostly clear and concise * Textual evidence is strong and accurate * Explanation of evidence is clear and demonstrates strong understanding of text | * Chosen examples/ideas may be questionable * Context may be unclear or wordy * Textual evidence is generally accurate but may lack impact * Explanation of evidence is generally clear but with basic understanding of text | * Chosen examples/ideas may be unclear or inaccurate * Context may not preview evidence * Textual evidence may contain serious inaccuracies * Explanation of evidence may lack development with a faulty understanding of text |
| GRAMMAR/  MECHANICS | * No errors in g/m * Correct citations * No personal pronouns * Diction is sophisticated, thoughtful | * Few errors in g/m * Mostly correct citations * No personal pronouns * Diction is accurate, varied | * Some errors in g/m * Some errors in citations * No personal pronouns * Diction may be rote or repetitive | * Many errors in g/m * Many errors/missing citations * No personal pronouns * Diction may be incorrect or basic |
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